ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT BOARD

RE: Ontario Waste Management Corporation
Proposed Waste Treatment and
Disposal Facility, Township of West
Lincoln, Regional Municipality of
Niagara

RE: A Funding Program for Public
Interest Participants

**BRIEF SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE
ONTARIO WASTE MANAGEMENT CORPORATION**

PUBLIC MEETING JUNE 10 and 11, 1987

Ian A. Blue, Q.C.
Cassels, Brock & Blackwell
130 Adelaide Street West
Suite 2300
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 3C2

(416) 869-5353

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Tab No.	Document	Page No.
1	Submissions of OWMC to the Funding Panel	1 - 10
2	Summary of the Phase 3B and 4A Program	11 - 19
3	Technical Reports Commissioned in support of the Proposed OWMC Submission	20 - 31
4	OWMC Funding Criteria	32 - 33
5	OWMC Funding Applications	34 - 41

SUBMISSIONS OF THE ONTARIO WASTE MANAGEMENT CORPORATION TO THE FUNDING PANEL

INTRODUCTION

Good morning Madam Chairman. My name is Ian Blue and I am OWMC's legal counsel. With me is Mr. Michael Scott, OWMC's Director of Communications and Mr. Richard Szudy, OWMC's Co-ordinator of Environmental Projects. We are delighted to respond to the Funding Panel's call for an OWMC presentation.

The January 28, 1987 Order-in-Council established this Funding Panel to administer the funding program described in the Order. Paragraph 6 of the Order directs the Funding Panel to require OWMC to provide the following information about OWMC's funding program carried out in 1985 and 1986.

(a) the reports whose review has been funded by OWMC;

(b) a list of the recipients of funds, and

(c) the amounts received.

OWMC provided this information under cover of an April 3, 1987 letter to Ms N.

Davidovic, Assistant Board Secretary. In addition, the Funding Panel in its Procedural Guideline for this public meeting directed OWMC to make a "brief presentation" covering:

1. Status of technical reports prepared for the Environmental Assessment document;

2. Proposed schedule for submitting the Environmental Assessment and supporting documents;

3. The funding program administered by OWMC;

4. The Corporation's position with respect to the proposed government funding program; and

5. Co-ordination of OWMC's funding program and that to be administered by the Funding Panel.

STATUS OF TECHNICAL REPORTS

On December 18, 1986 OWMC forwarded its Plan Stage Environmental Assessment ("EA") to MOE officials in the Ministry's EA Branch. The Plan Stage EA consisted of an overview volume and numerous supporting technical studies. As a result of subsequent discussions between OWMC and MOE officials, OWMC now

intends to prepare and file a "stand alone" EA submission. Since the precise format for this stand-alone submission has not been determined yet, OWMC has not decided which of its technical studies it will file with its submission and distribute to the public.

Tab 2 lists those technical reports whose review OWMC has funded. These funded reports supported OWMC's Phase 3B and 4A site selection decisions.

Tab 3 lists all technical reports which OWMC has commissioned relevant to its proposed EA Submission. OWMC expects the technical reports listed behind Tab 3 as "not yet published" to be completed by the end of the year or as soon after as possible.

Before these Phase 4B reports are made final OWMC intends to discuss the Phase 4B information with the public. OWMC intends to conduct this Public Consultation Program on the Phase 4B information this summer, in order to receive public comment before the EA is completed and submitted.

PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR SUBMITTING THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

OWMC's current plan is to submit its

draft stand-alone EA Submission to the Ministry of the Environment by the end of 1987.

Once the draft EA has been submitted to the MOE, the MOE will begin its review of the document. OWMC and the MOE have discussed the timetable for review and both are committed to working together to ensure the review process moves as quickly as possible.

Without committing MOE or OWMC to a specific date, OWMC hopes that the review process will be completed in 1988. OWMC stresses that it has has no authority to speak for MOE on this point.

OWMC'S FUNDING PROGRAM

Phase 3B:

OWMC's funding Program began in February, 1985 after completion of OWMC's Phase 3B report. OWMC had published a number of reports before this date but organized no funding program to accompany review of these documents. OWMC did, however, conduct an extensive public consultation program on these studies. This absence of a funding program in OWMC's early site selection stages was due to OWMC's belief that the studies were non-site specific and until affected communities could be identified a funding

program was not required. Phase 3B identified affected communities.

In February, 1985, therefore, OWMC announced the appointment of Mr. John Beaver to adjudicate on applications to fund review of OWMC's Phase 3B technical reports.

OWMC delegated the task of developing criteria for funding applications to Mr. Beaver. The only constraint on Mr. Beaver was that funds not be made available to regional or municipal governments, business corporations, political parties or for parallel studies or legal expenses. OWMC imposed these constraints because it wanted the funds available at this time to be given to groups and individuals. OWMC, however, recognized that once it chose its preferred site the affected municipalities would require special funding beyond their own resources. Until that point was reached at the end of Phase 3B, OWMC did not believe a funding program for municipalities was required.

The criteria Mr. Beaver developed are described in a letter from him included in a package of information which was delivered to all people and organizations who had expressed an interest in or concerns about OWMC's project. A

copy of Mr. Beaver's letter can be found at Tab 4 of OWMC's Brief.

Mr. Beaver also developed an application form based on precedents provided to him by OWMC. A copy of that application form can be found at Tab 5 of OWMC's Brief.

At Tab 2, there is a summary of the Phase 3B and 4A funding program showing recipients, amounts of funding, timing of funding and the reports reviewed. Briefly, the following individuals received funding for review of the Phase 3B reports:

1.	Niagara Falls Chamber of Commerce	$ 8,250.00
2.	Group of Eight	81,000.00
	(the Group of Eight is a coalition of eight citizens' groups formed shortly after the announcement of the eight candidate sites in March, 1984)	
3.	Ontario Institute of Agrologists	5,000.00
4.	Ontario Archaeological Society	4,000.00
5.	Dr. Piero Boldrini	4,000.00
	(Dr. Boldrini's prime area of interest was the chemical aspects of waste management).	
	The total amount awarded	$102,250.00

Phase 4A:

In January, 1986, OWMC announced that the funding program originally begun in February, 1985 to cover Phase 3B reports was being extended to January, 1986 to cover Phase 4A reports.

Again, responsibility for criteria and adjudicating on applications was entirely Mr. Beaver's.

Five applicants were granted for Phase 4A funding. The following awards were made in respect of Phase 4A technical reports:

1.	Group of Eight	$145,950.00
2.	Gabriel Timar	5,528.00
3.	Niagara Falls Chamber of Commerce	8,000.00
4.	Ontario Institute of Agrologists	8,000.00
5.	Ontario Archeological Society	4,250.00
	The total amount awarded	$171,728.00

CURRENT PROGRAM

Shortly after OWMC announced that West Lincoln was the preferred location for OWMC's proposed

facilities, OWMC undertook, following government approval, to pay the Township of West Lincoln's expenses in dealing with OWMC's proposal. Specifically OWMC has to date committed to fund West Lincoln's Toxic Waste Project Office, its environmental project co-ordinator, its environmental legal counsel and an interdisciplinary consultant study team up to the conclusion of the Phase 4A technical report review. As of the end of May, 1987 OWMC has paid the Township of West Lincoln $199,833.00 in fulfillment of its commitments. OWMC has paid the Region of Niagara $119,000.00 up to the end of December, 1986 to cover its costs in connection with OWMC up to that point. OWMC will be discussing future funding with the Region of Niagara.

The total amount paid to all parties under all OWMC programs is $591,000.00 to date.

OWMC understands that the Township of West Lincoln wishes OWMC to continue this commitment from the present through the hearing, all possible appeals and through construction and start-up. We further understand that, if the government supports such a commitment from OWMC, West Lincoln will require no further funding from or through the Funding Panel. OWMC is prepared, and welcomes the opportunity, to affirm this commitment subject to government approval

and is prepared to give a similar commitment, again subject to government approval, to the Region of Niagara.

With respect to any other OWMC funding initiatives, OWMC wishes to hear the submissions of others at the meeting today and tomorrow before making a decision on whether to propose the institution of a new funding program of its own, or, on what such a program might cover.

THE GOVERNMENT FUNDING PROGRAM

OWMC applauds the government's Funding Program. This funding program realizes Dr. Chant's personal commitment that there be intervenor funding in this hearing administered by an independent and impartial body. The program also realizes OWMC's wish, stated publicly, not to be involved in formulating and administering intervenor funding for its own project. OWMC consequently does not wish to make any comments on the funding criteria or when the program should begin, two of the main topics in the January 29, 1987 Order in Council.

CO-ORDINATION OF OWMC'S FUNDING PROGRAM AND THE GOVERNMENT FUNDING PROGRAM

OWMC shares the concern that OWMC's funding program might duplicate the proposed government's efforts because of OWMC's commitments to West Lincoln and the Region of Niagara. OWMC will ensure that it will provide you with all details of funding given to West Lincoln and Niagara to ensure that you have sufficient information to determine whether duplication exists.

CONCLUSION

In closing, OWMC hopes that you, Madam Chairman, will find this sharing of information helpful. We, of course, will keep you and others registered here today informed of new developments affecting intervenor funding as they occur. We also are ready to assist you and intervenors in any other reasonable way.

Thank you.

SUMMARY OF OWMC'S PHASE 3B AND 4A FUNDING PROGRAM

PHASE 3B

RECIPIENTS	DATE AWARDED	AMOUNT AWARDED
° Niagara Falls Chamber of Commerce	March 1985	$ 8,250.00
° Group of Eight	April 1985	$ 81,000.00
° Ontario Institute of Agrologists	April 1985	$ 5,000.00
° Ontario Archaeological Society	April 1985	$ 4,000.00
° Dr. Piero Boldrini	March 1985	$ 4,000.00
Total amount awarded for Phase 3B review	=	$102,250.00

Reports reviewed in the 3B funding program:

Site Selection Process Phase 3B:
Selection of Candidate Sites -
Agriculture
Ecologistics Limited and Deloitte,
Haskins and Sells Associates.
250 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B:
Selection of Candidate Sites -
Atmospheric Conditions
Ontario Research Foundation.
130 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B:
Selection of Candidate Sites -
Biology
Gartner Lee Associates Limited and
Museum of Indian Archaeology (London)
140 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Physical Resourses
Gartner Lee Associates Limited.
250 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Sensitive Crops
Ecologistics Limited and Deloitte, Haskins and Sells Associates.
40 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Transportation
M.M. Dillon Limited.
350 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Urbanization
Ecologistics Limited and Deloitte, Haskins and Sells Associates.
120 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Heritage Resources
Gartner Lee Associates Limited and Museum of Indian Archaeology (London).
85 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Land Use
Marshall, Macklin, Monaghan and and Leon Kentridge Associates Ltd.
285 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Socio-Economic
Institute of Environmental Research.
175 pp.

Transportation of Special Wastes in Ontario
Pilorusso Research Associates Inc.
180 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Facilities Characteristics and Technical Buffer Zone
M.M. Dillon Limited.
125 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Site Selection: Vol. 1. General Characteristics and the Identification of Site Areas
M.M. Dillon Limited.
240 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Vol. 2 Identification of Potential Sites
M.M. Dillon Limited.
320 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Site Selection: Vol. 3. Identification of Candidate Sites
M.M. Dillon Limited.
350 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Site Selection: Vol. 4. Map Portfolio
M.M. Dillon Limited.

Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Technical Map Portfolio
Site selection consultants team.

PHASE 4A

RECEPIENTS	DATE AWARDED	AMOUNT AWARDED
° Niagara Falls Chamber of Commerce	Feb. 1986	$ 8,000.00
° Group of Eight	Feb. 1986	$ 96,450.00
	Dec. 1986	49,500.00
		$145,950.00
° Ontario Institute of Agrologists	Feb. 1986	$ 8,000.00
° Ontario Archaeological Society	Feb. 1986	$ 4,250.00
° Gabriel Timar	Feb. 1986	$ 2,178.00
	Dec. 1986	3,350.00
		$ 5,528.00
Total amount awarded for Phase 4A review =		$171,728.00

Reports reviewed in the 4A funding program:

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Agriculture
Ecologistics Ltd.
194 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Agriculture - Appendices
Ecologistics Ltd.
330 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Agriculture - Technical Data Map Portfolio
Ecologistics Ltd.
29 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Atmospheric Considerations
Ontario Research Foundation.
128 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Transportation
M.M. Dillon Limited.
317 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Transportation - Appendices
M.M. Dillon Limited.
544 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Surface Water
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
198 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Surface Water - Appendices
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
198 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
218 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations: Appendices PI-27E
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
125 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations: Appendices LF-2N
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
110 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations: Appendices LF-4N
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
186 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A:
Selection of a Preferred Site(s) -
Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical
Considerations: Appendices PI-1N
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
186 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A:
Selection of a Preferred Site(s) -
Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical
Considerations: Appendices PI-13N
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
185 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A:
Selection of a Preferred Site(s) -
Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical
Considerations: Appendices LF-1E
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
148 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A:
Selection of a Preferred Site(s) -
Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical
Considerations: Appendices LF-9C
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
106 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A:
Selection of a Preferred Site(s) -
Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical
Considerations: Appendices LF-3C
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
108 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A:
Selection of a Preferred Site(s)-
Biology
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
254 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A:
Selection of a Preferred Site(s)-
Biology: Appendices PI-27E
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
143 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A:
Selection of a Preferred Site(s)-
Biology: Appendices LF-2N
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
103 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A:
Selection of a Preferred Site(s)-
Biology: Appendices LF-4N
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
145 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology: Appendices PI-1N
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
135 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology: Appendices PI-13N
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
135 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology: Appendices LF-1E
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
154 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology: Appendices LF-3C
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
157 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology: Appendices LF-9C
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.
143 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Land Use
Leon Kentridge Associates Ltd.
421 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Social Analysis
Institute of Environmental Research Inc.
336 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Social Analysis: Appendices, Vol. 1.
Institute of Environmental Research Inc.
276 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Archaeology
Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. and
Museum of Indian Archaeology.
204 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Municipal Finance and Services Analysis
Clayton Research Associates Ltd.
180 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Generic Risk Assessment
Environ Corp.
112 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Economic Base
Clayton Research Associates Ltd.
342 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Social Analysis: Appendices, Vol. 2, Heritage Assessment
Institute of Environmental Research Inc. and Historica Research Ltd.
91 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Social Analysis: Appendices, Vol. 4, Tourism Impacts
Institute of Environmental Research Inc. and Laventhol & Horwath.
185 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Social Analysis: Appendices, Vol. 5, Comparative and Parallel Studies of Tourism Impact
Institute of Environmental Research Inc. and Jack B. Ellis and Associates.
66 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Social Analysis: Appendices, Vol. 3, Visual Impact
Institute of Environmental Research Inc. and E.D.A. Collaborative Inc.
146 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Vol. 1-3
M.M. Dillon Ltd.
1885 pp.

Status Report. Facility Design
Monenco.
158 pp.

Status Report. Rationale for the Selection of Technologies for Treatment and Disposal of Special Wastes in Ontario
Arthur D. Little of Canada Ltd.
29 pp.

Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Engineering
Monenco.
355 pp.

TECHNICAL REPORTS COMMISSIONED IN SUPPORT OF THE PROPOSED OWMC SUBMISSION

TITLE	STATUS
OWMC Facilities Development Process: Phase 1 Report 68 pp.	Published Sept/82
Waste Quantities Study. Proctor & Redfern Limited, Weston Designers-Consultants and Ontario Research Foundation. 120 pp.	Published Sept/82
Generic Process Technologies Study Proctor & Redfern Limited and Weston Designers-Consultants. 335 pp.	Published Sept/82
OWMC Facilities Development Process: Phase 2 Report. 38 pp.	Published Jan/83
Hydrogeologic Considerations for Facilities Siting Gartner Lee Associates Limited. 17 pp.	Published 1983
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Agriculture Ecologistics Limited and Deloitte, Haskins and Sells Associates. 250 pp.	Published Oct/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Atmospheric Conditions Ontario Research Foundation. 130 pp.	Published Oct/84

TITLE	STATUS
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites- Biology Gartner Lee Associates Limited and Museum of Indian Archaeology (London) 140 pp.	Published Oct/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Physical Resources Gartner Lee Associates Limited. 250 pp.	Published Oct/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Sensitive Crops Ecologistics Limited and Deliotte, Haskins and Sells Associates. 40 pp.	Published Oct/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Transportation M.M. Dillon Limited. 350 pp.	Published Oct/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Urbanization Ecologistics Limited and Deloitte, Haskins and Sells Associates. 120 pp.	Published Oct/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Heritage Resources Gartner Lee Associates Limited and Museum of Indian Archaeology (London). 85 pp.	Published Nov/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Land Use Marshall, Macklin, Monaghan and Leon Kentridge Associates Ltd. 285 pp.	Published Nov/84

TITLE	STATUS
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Socio-Economic Institute of Environmental Research. 175 pp.	Published Nov/84
Transportation of Special Wastes in Ontario Pilorusso Research Associates Inc. 180 pp.	Published Nov/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Facilities Characteristics and Technical Buffer Zone M.M. Dillon Limited. 125 pp.	Published Dec/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Site Selection: Vol. 1. General Characteristics and the Identification of Site Areas M.M. Dillon Limited. 240 pp.	Published Dec/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Vol. 2 Identification of Potential Sites M.M. Dillon Limited. 320 pp.	Published Dec/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Site Selection: Vol. 3. Identification of Candidate Sites M.M. Dillon Limited. 350 pp.	Published Dec/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Site Selection: Vol. 4. Map Portfolio M.M. Dillon Limited.	Published Dec/84
Site Selection Process Phase 3B: Selection of Candidate Sites - Technical Map Portfolio Site Selection consultants team.	Published Dec/84

TITLE	STATUS
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Agriculture Ecologistics Ltd. 194 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Agriculture - Appendices Ecologistics Ltd. 330 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) Agriculture - Technical Data Map Portfolio Ecologistics Ltd. 29 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Atmospheric Considerations Ontario Research Foundation. 128 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Transportation M.M. Dillon Limited. 317 pp.	Published Oct/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) Transportation - Appendices M.M. Dillon Limited. 544 pp.	Published Oct/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Surface Water Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 198 pp.	Published Oct/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Surface Water - Appendices Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 198 pp.	Published Oct/85

TITLE	STATUS
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site (s) Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 218 pp.	Published Oct/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations: Appendices PI-27E Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 125 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations: Appendices LF-2N Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 110 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations: Appendices LF-4N Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 186 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations: Appendices PI-1N Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 186 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations: Appendices PI-13N Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 185 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations: Appendices LF-1E Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 148 pp.	Published Sept/85

TITLE	STATUS
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations: Appendices LF-9C Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 106 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Considerations: Appendices LF-3C Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 108 pp.	Published Aug/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 254 pp.	Published Oct/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s) - Biology: Appendices PI-27E Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 143 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology: Appendices LF-2N Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 103 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology: Appendices LF-4N Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 145 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology: Appendices PI-1N Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 135 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology: Appendices PI-13N Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 135 pp.	Published Sept/85

TITLE	STATUS
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology: Appendices LF-1E Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 154 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology: Appendices LF-3C Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 157 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Biology: Appendices LF-9C Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 143 pp.	Published Sept/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Land Use Leon Kentridge Associates Ltd. 421 pp.	Published Nov/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Social Analysis Institute of Environmental Research Inc. 336 pp.	Published Nov/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Social Analysis: Appendices, Vol. 1. Institute of Environmental Research Inc. 276 pp.	Published Nov/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Archaeology Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. and Museum of Indian Archaeology. 204 pp.	Published Nov/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Municipal Finance and Services Analysis Clayton Research Associates Ltd. 180 pp.	Published Nov/85

TITLE	STATUS
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Generic Risk Assessment Environ Corp. 112 pp.	Published Dec/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Economic Base Clayton Research Associates Ltd. 342 pp.	Published Dec/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Social Analysis: Appendices, Vol. 2, Heritage Assessment Institute of Environmental Research Inc. and Historica Research Ltd. 91 pp.	Published Dec/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Social Analysis: Appendices, Vol. 4, Tourism Impacts Institute of Environmental Research Inc. and Laventhol and Horwath. 185 pp.	Published Dec/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Social Analysis: Appendices, Vol. 5, Comparative and Parallel Studies of Tourism Impact Institute of Environmental Research Inc. and Jack B. Ellis and Associates. 66 pp.	Published Dec/85
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Social Analysis: Appendices, Vol. 3, Visual Impact Institute of Environmental Research Inc. and E.D.A. Collaborative Inc. 146 pp.	Published Jan/85

TITLE	STATUS
Site Selection Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Vol. 1-3 M.M. Dillon Ltd. 1885 pp.	Published June/85
Status Report. Facility Design Monenco. 158 pp.	Published Apr/85
Status Report. Rationale for the Selection of Technologies for Treatment and Disposal of Special Wastes in Ontario Arthur D. Little of Canada Ltd. 29 pp.	Published July/85
Site Selecton Process Phase 4A: Selection of a Preferred Site(s)- Engineering Monenco. 355pp.	Published May/86
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Biology Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.	Not yet published
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Transportation M.M. Dillon Ltd.	Not yet published
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Noise Stan Wilson Associates.	Not yet published
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Surface Water M.M. Dillon Ltd.	Not yet published
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Geologic, Hydrogeologic and Geotechnical Setting Gartner Lee Associates Ltd.	Not yet published

TITLE	STATUS
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Atmospheric Conditions Ontario Research Foundation.	Not yet published
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Social Conditions Institute of Environmental Research	Not yet published
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Agriculture Ecologistics.	Not yet published
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Economics S. Morehouse Consultants.	Not yet published
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Municipal Finance Future Urban Research	Not yet published
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Archaeology Museum of Indian Archaeology	Not yet published
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Visual Impact E.D.A. Collaborative.	Not yet published
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Risk Assessment Environ Corp.	Not yet published
Site Assessment, Phase 4B: Site Assessment Report M.M. Dillon Ltd.	Not yet published
Environmental Effects of Special Waste Eco Logic Inc. 300 pp.	Published 1986
Human Health and Special Waste Environ Corp. 50 pp.	Published 1986
M.M. Dillon Ltd., Interim Phase 3 Technical Report: Site Selection and OWMC Map Portfolio	Published 1986

TITLE	STATUS
Hazardous Waste Management Options for Ontario Arthur D. Little International Inc. 150 pp.	Published 1986
OWMC Treatment Facility. Facility Description Monenco Ltd. 375 pp.	Published 1986
Technologies and Systems for Treatment and Disposal of Special Wastes in Ontario Arthur D. Little International Inc. 325 pp.	Published 1986
Evaluation of Alternative Disposal Options Gartner Lee Associates Ltd. 348 pp.	Published 1986
OWMC Treatment Facility. Process Area Report. Incineration Monenco Ltd. 280 pp.	Published 1986
OWMC Treatment Facility. Process Area Report. Physical/Chemical Treatment Monenco Ltd. 310 pp.	Published 1986
OWMC Treatment Facility. Process Area Report. Solidification Monenco Ltd. 188 pp.	Published 1986
OWMC Treatment Facility. Process Area Report. Engineered Landfill Monenco Ltd. 290 pp.	Published 1986
OWMC Treatment Facility. Process Area Report. General Facilities Monenco Ltd. 240 pp.	Published 1986
Disposal Options Evaluation - Summary Paper. OWMC 50 pp.	Not yet published

TITLE	STATUS
Canviro Consultants Ltd., Market Assessment Program. Survey of 1000 Industrial Establishments	Not yet published
DPA Group Inc., Market Assessment Program. Special Waste Generation by Ontario's Manufacturing Industry	Not yet published
Ontario Research Foundation, Market Assessment Program. Estimating Waste Quantities	Not yet published
OWMC Public Consultation Technical Report	Not yet published

P.O. Box 122
Toronto-Dominion Centre
Toronto, Ontario
M5K 1H1

Subject: Funding for Review of OWMC's Phase 3 Technical Reports

I have recently been appointed to adjudicate the award of funding to those groups interested in reviewing and reporting on the OWMC's Phase 3 Technical Reports (as listed in Appendix A attached).

My decision on funding will be based on criteria as follows:

- Applicants should have demonstrated interest and activity on waste management matters in Ontario and/or OWMC's Facilities Development Process.
- Applicants should define the nature and scope of their review of the Technical Reports so that an informed judgment on the level of requested funds can be made.
- Applicants must have shown a willingness to co-ordinate their review with other applicants who have similar concerns.
- Applicants must declare they have not received funds from other Governmental sources for this review.
- Applicants must indicate how they will account for the funds.

The questions asked and the data requested in the application forms reflect the intent of the above criteria.

I have been advised by the Ontario Waste Management Corporation that it will provide funds totaling $75,000 for these reviews with the restriction that funding will not be provided to regional or municipal governments, business corporations, political parties, legal expenses or for parallel studies.

Applicants should complete the background application, provide the necessary back-up information and data and send the application to:

Mr. J.W. Beaver
Funding Adjudicator
P.O. Box 122
Toronto-Dominion Centre
Toronto, Ontario
M5K 1H1

Telephone: (416) 865-1420

This information is being delivered to all people and organizations who have expressed an interest and concern in this matter. Should you have any knowledge of any person or organization who may have been missed, I would request you advise me at once.

I understand we are in agreement on the need to complete this funding, do the review and submit a final report, in as prompt a manner as is possible, so I would ask you to submit your application within a month after you receive this document. I hope I can have your final review report (Item 6 on application sheet) as soon as is physically possible but in any case not later than the end of June, 1985.

It will be my intention, when a decision has been made to fund, to provide an initial installment of 70% of the sum granted and the remaining 30% when I have received your final review report.

The final decision on the allocation of funds will be at the discretion of the Funding Adjudicator.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Yours sincerely,

J. W. Beaver

Encl.

Application for Financial Assistance to Review OWMC's Phase 3 Technical Reports

A. Identity of Applicant

1.	Name of Applicant or Organization:
	Address:
	Telephone No. (include Area Code):
	Amount Requested $_____
	Review is of Technical Report Titles:

Application for Financial Assistance to Review OWMC's Phase 3 Technical Reports

B. Data to be submitted in support of the Application

1. Describe how you or your organization has proven interest in the management of liquid industrial waste and hazardous waste in Ontario and/or Ontario Waste Management Corporation's Facilities Development Process:

Application for Financial Assistance

to Review OWMC's Phase 3 Technical Reports

2. Have you contacted other possible applicants who show similar concerns in order to co-ordinate with or participate in a joint review?

YES_____ NO_____

Are you willing to do so?

YES_____ NO_____

3. Have you received funds for this review from any other Agency or Ministry of the Government of Ontario?

YES_____ NO_____

4. Do you confirm that your proposed review expenditures meet the restriction clauses of Paragraph 4 of the Adjudicator's letter of February 28, 1985?

YES_____ NO_____

Application for Financial Assistance

to Review OWMC's Phase 3 Technical Reports

5. Define the nature and scope of the review of the Ontario Waste Management Corporation's Technical Reports that is intended to be undertaken and provide a breakdown of the total funds requested. Please provide a time schedule for the submission of your review report. This is important in order to give adequate time and consideration to your report:

Application for Financial Assistance to Review OWMC's Phase 3 Technical Reports

6. I/We commit to providing to the Funding Adjudicator on completion of the review:

(a) Copies of all results and a final report arising from the review.

(b) A final financial report with attached receipts and invoices that account or explain the accrued expenditures incurred.

_____

Signature

APPENDIX "A"

ONTARIO WASTE MANAGEMENT CORPORATION

PHASE 3 TECHNICAL REPORTS

Site Selection Process

Phase 3B:

Selection of Candidate Sites

1.	Transportation	M. M. Dillon Limited
2.	Atmospheric Conditions	Ontario Research Foundation
3.	Land Use	Marshall, Macklin, Monaghan Ltd. and Leon Kentridge Associates Limited
4.	Agriculture	Ecologistics Limited
5.	Sensitive Crops	Ecologistics Limited
6.	Urbanization	Ecologistics Limited
7.	Biology	Gartner Lee Associates Limited
8.	Heritage Resources	Gartner Lee Associates Limited
9.	Physical Resources	Gartner Lee Associates Limited
10.	Socio-economic	Institute of Environmental Research
11.	Facility Characteristics Area Technical Buffer Zone	M. M. Dillon Limited
12.	Technical Data Map Portfolio	All Consultants
13.	Vol. 1: General Characteristics and the Identification of Site Areas	M. M. Dillon Limited
14.	Vol. 2: General Characteristics and the Identification of Potential Sites	M. M. Dillon Limited
15.	Vol. 3: General Characteristics and the Identification of Candidate Sites	M. M. Dillon Limited
16.	Vol. 4: Map Portfolio	M. M. Dillon Limited

TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR RECEIPT OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FROM OWMC TO REVIEW PHASE 4A TECHNICAL REPORTS

On the recommendation of Mr. John Beaver, Funding Adjudicator, OWMC will award a total of , for the review of some of all of OWMC's Phase 4A Technical Reports.

The hereby agrees to the following terms and conditions, that apply to the awarding of these funds:

1. No other funds for the review of the Phase 4A Technical Reports have been received from any other agency or ministry of the Government of Ontario.

2. A final report will be submitted to the Funding Adjudicator on completion of the review and no later than June 1, 1986. That report will contain copies for the use of OWMC, of all written reports and assessments as a result of the funding provided by this program and a report on the expenditures of the granted funds, accompanied by receipted invoices.

3. In accordance with terms outlined by Mr. J. W. Beaver, Funding Adjudicator, an initial installment of 70 percent ($) of the total amount of funds to be granted to will be sent by OWMC to upon receipt of this signed agreement, and the remaining 30 percent ($) when the Funding Adjudicator has notified OWMC that he has received the final report outlined under Item 2 above.

Signed by representative of group or individual

Name of Group (if applicable)

Date